UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,249	11/25/2003	Kenichi Osada	H-1123	4095
7590 08/30/2006 MATTINGLY, STANGER & MALUR, P.C.			EXAMINER	
			WEISS, HOWARD	
SUITE 370 1800 DIAGONAL ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			2814	
			DATE MAILED: 08/30/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/720,249	OSADA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Howard Weiss	2814
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was precised to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ju This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	, , , , ,	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	A) 🗀 tataa dan s	(DTO 442)
1)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/720,249

Art Unit: 2814

Attorney's Docket Number: H-1123

Filing Date: 11/25/03
Continuing Data: none

Claimed Foreign Priority Date: 12/9/02, 11/11/03 (JPX)

Applicant(s): Osada et al. (Kawahara, Yamaoka)

Examiner: Howard Weiss

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 1 and 6 to 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katz (U.S. Patent No. 3,521,242) and Yamada (U.S. Patent No. 5,986,924).

Katz shows most aspects of the instant invention (e.g. Figure 8) including:

- > a plurality of word **36** and bit **30a,b** lines
- > a plurality of memory cells (see Figure 9)
- > each cell consisting of (1,2) p-channel load transistors **14,22**, (3,4) n-channel driver transistors **12,20** and (5,6) n-channel transfer transistors **92,32**

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/720,249

> where the gate and channel regions of transistors (1-4) are not coupled together and the channel regions are floating

➤ drains of (1,3) are connected to the gates of (2,4) and drains of (2,4) are connected the gates of (1,3) and the source/drain path of (5,6) are connected to respective bit lines

Katz does not show the channels of (5,6) coupled to their respective gates and to a first wiring line. Yamada teaches (e.g. Figure 2) to couple the channels of transfer transistors 21,22 to a fist wiring line WL0 and the respective gates to improve the read/write speed of the memory cell. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to couple the channels of transfer transistors to a fist wiring line and the respective gates as taught by Yamada in the device of Katz to improve the read/write speed of the memory cell.

In reference to the claim language referring to the supplied voltages and potentials to word and bit lines and other feature of the memory cell, intended use and other types of functional language must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In re Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967); In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

- 3. Claims 2 to 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katz and Yamada, as applied to Claim 1 above, and further in view of Kotani (U.S. Patent No. 6,638,799).
- 4. Katz and Yamada show most aspects of the instant invention (Paragraph 5) except the memory device being on a chip with a first and second semiconductors layers are separated by an insulating layer and (1-6) transistors' diffusing layers are formed in said first semiconductor layer with the channel regions separated by an insulating

Art Unit: 2814

layer. Kotani teach (e.g. Figure 1) to form n- and p-channel transistors **Rnt**, **Rpt** on a chip with a first 5 and second 3 semiconductors layers are separated by an insulating layer 4 and the transistors' diffusing layers 19 are formed in said first semiconductor layer with the channel regions 14,22 separated by an insulating layer 6 to fix a body electrical potential (Column 5 Lines 18 to 26). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to form n- and p-channel transistors on a chip with a first and second semiconductors layers are separated by an insulating layer and the transistors' diffusing layers are formed in said first semiconductor layer with the channel regions separated by an insulating layer as taught by Kotani in the device of Katz and Yamada to fix a body electrical potential. Additionally, it is obvious to use vertical transistors since they are common in SRAM devices.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 7/10/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In reference to the coupling of the driver MOSFETs, the embodiment shown in Figure 2 of Yamada teaches to only couple the channel regions of the transfer MOSFETs 21,22 to the word line WL0 leaving the driver MOSFETs of Katz floating. In reference to the rejection not addressing the problem confronted by the claimed invention ("ensuring stable operation of the circuit while maintaining sufficiently decreased space requirements"), the mere fact that the references relied upon by the Examiner to evince an appreciation of the problem identified and solved by the instant invention is not, standing alone, conclusive evidence of the non-obviousness of the claimed subject matter. The references may suggest doing what an applicant has done even though those of ordinary skill in the art were ignorant of the existence of the problem. In re Gershon, 152 USPQ 602 (CCPA 1967).

In view of these reasons and those set forth in the present office action, the rejections of the stated claims stand.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,249

Art Unit: 2814

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

- 7. Papers related to this application may be submitted directly to Art Unit 2814 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (15 November 1989). The Art Unit 2814 Fax Center number is (571) 273-8300. The Art Unit 2814 Fax Center is to be used only for papers related to Art Unit 2814 applications.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Howard Weiss at (571) 272-1720 and between the hours of 7:00 AM to 3:00 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Friday or by e-mail via Howard.Weiss@uspto.gov. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy, can be reached on (571) 272-1705.
- 9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

Art Unit: 2814

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

10. The following list is the Examiner's field of search for the present Office Action:

Field of Search	. Date
U.S. Class / Subclass(es): 257/ 369; 365/156	thru 8/23/206
Other Documentation: none	
Electronic Database(s): EAST, IEL	thru 8/23/2006

Howard Weiss
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2814

31 August 2005